

Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 7, 1998

Captain Robert Taylor Amarillo Police Department 200 S. E. Third Avenue Amarillo, Texas 79101-1514

OR98-2383

Dear Captain Taylor:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 118813.

The Amarillo Police Department (the "department") received a request for information relating to a vandalism incident. You state that you do not object to the release of the responsive incident report. You are concerned, however, that release of the report may be restricted because the report focuses on a juvenile suspect.

Prior to its repeal by the Seventy-fourth Legislature, section 51.14(d) of the Family Code provided for the confidentiality of juvenile law enforcement records. Law enforcement records pertaining to conduct occurring before January 1, 1996 are governed by the former section 51.14(d), which was continued in effect for that purpose. Act of May 27, 1995, 74th Leg., R.S., ch. 262, § 100, 1995 Tex. Gen. Laws 2517, 2591 (Vernon). This office has concluded that section 58.007 of the Family Code, as enacted by the Seventy-fourth Legislature, does not make confidential juvenile law enforcement records relating to conduct that occurred on or after January 1, 1996. Open Records Decision No. 644 (1996). The Seventy-fifth Legislature, however, amended section 58.007 to once again make juvenile law enforcement records confidential effective September 1, 1997. Act of June 2, 1997, 75th Leg., R.S., ch. 1086, 1997 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 4179, 4187 (Vernon). It chose not to make this most recent amendment retroactive in application. Consequently, law enforcement records pertaining to juvenile conduct that occurred between January 1, 1996 and September 1, 1997, are not subject to the confidentiality provisions of either the former section 51.14(d) or the current section 58.007 of the Family Code.

The report at issue deals with conduct that occurred in March, 1997. Thus, the report is not confidential under either the former section 51.14(d) or the current section 58.007 of the Family Code. Accordingly, the department should release the report to the requestor.

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Karen E. Hattaway

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

KEH/ch

Ref: ID# 118813

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Randy Virgil

Information Services Investigations

P.O. Box 116138 Carrollton, Texas 75011

(w/o enclosures)